

Tridiagonal pairs and the q -tetrahedron algebra

Darren Funk-Neubauer*

Department of Mathematics and Physics, Colorado State University-Pueblo
2200 Bonforte Boulevard
Pueblo, CO 81001 USA

Abstract

The q -tetrahedron algebra $\boxtimes_q$ was recently introduced and has been studied in connection with tridiagonal pairs. In this paper we further develop this connection. Let $\mathbb{K}$ denote an algebraically closed field and let q denote a nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$ that is not a root of unity. Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension and let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V . Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$, (resp. $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) denote a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of A (resp. A^*). T. Ito and P. Terwilliger have shown that when $\theta_i = q^{2i-d}$ and $\theta_i^* = q^{d-2i}$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$) there exists an irreducible $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on V such that the $\boxtimes_q$ generators x_{01}, x_{23} act as A, A^* respectively. In this paper we examine the case in which there exists a nonzero scalar c in $\mathbb{K}$ such that $\theta_i = q^{2i-d}$ and $\theta_i^* = q^{2i-d} + cq^{d-2i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. In this case we associate to A, A^* a polynomial P in one variable and prove the following theorem as our main result.

Theorem The following are equivalent:

- (i) There exists a $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on V such that x_{01} acts as A and $x_{30} + cx_{23}$ acts as A^* , where x_{01}, x_{30}, x_{23} are standard generators for $\boxtimes_q$.
- (ii) $P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$.

Suppose (i),(ii) hold. Then the $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on V is unique and irreducible.

AMS classification code: Primary: 17B37; Secondary: 15A21, 16W35, 17B65

Keywords: tridiagonal pair, Leonard pair, tetrahedron algebra, q -tetrahedron algebra, quantum group, quantum affine algebra

1 Tridiagonal pairs

We begin by recalling the notion of a *tridiagonal pair* [16]. Let $\mathbb{K}$ denote a field and let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ denote a linear

*E-mail address: darren.funkneubauer@colostate-pueblo.edu

transformation and let W denote a subspace of V . We say W is an *eigenspace* of A whenever $W \neq 0$ and there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$W = \{v \in V \mid Av = \theta v\}.$$

In this case, we call θ an *eigenvalue* of A . We say A is *diagonalizable* whenever V is spanned by the eigenspaces of A .

Definition 1.1 [16, Definition 1.1] Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. By a *tridiagonal pair* on V , we mean an ordered pair of linear transformations $A : V \rightarrow V$ and $A^* : V \rightarrow V$ that satisfy the following four conditions:

- (i) Each of A, A^* is diagonalizable.
- (ii) There exists an ordering $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of the eigenspaces of A such that

$$A^*V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \quad (1)$$

where $V_{-1} = 0, V_{d+1} = 0$.

- (iii) There exists an ordering $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta$ of the eigenspaces of A^* such that

$$AV_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_i^* + V_{i+1}^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq \delta), \quad (2)$$

where $V_{-1}^* = 0, V_{\delta+1}^* = 0$.

- (iv) There does not exist a subspace W of V such that $AW \subseteq W, A^*W \subseteq W, W \neq 0, W \neq V$.

Note 1.2 According to a common notational convention A^* denotes the conjugate-transpose of A . We are not using this convention. For a tridiagonal pair A, A^* the linear transformations A and A^* are arbitrary subject to (i)–(iv) above.

Tridiagonal pairs originally arose in algebraic combinatorics through the study of a combinatorial object called a P- and Q-polynomial association scheme [16]. Since then they have appeared in many other areas of mathematics. For instance, examples of tridiagonal pairs appear in representation theory [2, 6, 8, 12, 20, 22], the study of orthogonal polynomials and special functions [39, 43, 47], the theory of partially ordered sets [38, 42], and statistical mechanics [4, 15, 40]. The tridiagonal pairs for which the V_i, V_i^* all have dimension 1 are called *Leonard pairs*. The Leonard pairs are classified and correspond to a family of orthogonal polynomials consisting of the q -Racah polynomials and related polynomials in the Askey scheme [39, 43]. Currently there is no classification of tridiagonal pairs; this paper is largely motivated by the search for this classification. For further information on tridiagonal pairs and Leonard pairs see [1, 3, 5, 9, 10, 13, 17, 21, 24, 25, 26, 35, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 41, 44, 45, 46, 48, 49, 50].

We now recall a few basic facts about tridiagonal pairs. Let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V and let d, δ be as in Definition 1.1(ii), (iii). By [16, Lemma 4.5] we have $d = \delta$; we call this common value the *diameter* of A, A^* . An ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*)

will be called *standard* whenever it satisfies (1) (resp. (2)). We comment on the uniqueness of the standard ordering. Let $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A . Then the ordering $\{V_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d$ is standard and no other ordering is standard. A similar result holds for the eigenspaces of A^* . An ordering of the eigenvalues of A (resp. A^*) will be called *standard* whenever the corresponding ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*) is standard. Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) denote a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of A (resp. A^*). The θ_i, θ_i^* both satisfy a three term recurrence relation that has been solved in closed form [16, Theorem 11.1, Theorem 11.2]. The following two special cases will be of interest to us. For $0 \neq q \in \mathbb{K}$ we call A, A^* *q-geometric* whenever $\theta_i = q^{2i-d}$ and $\theta_i^* = q^{d-2i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. For a study of this case see [2, 3, 20, 22]. For $0 \neq q \in \mathbb{K}$ we call A, A^* *q-mixed* whenever there exists $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\theta_i = q^{2i-d}$ and $\theta_i^* = q^{2i-d} + c q^{d-2i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. The main result of this paper concerns the *q-mixed* tridiagonal pairs. These two cases are of interest because of their connection to the *q-tetrahedron algebra* $\boxtimes_q$. We discuss this connection in the next section.

2 The *q*-tetrahedron algebra

The *q*-tetrahedron algebra $\boxtimes_q$ was introduced in [19] as part of the continuing investigation of tridiagonal pairs. It is closely related to a number of well known algebras including the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ [19, Proposition 7.4], the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ loop algebra [19, Proposition 8.3], and positive part of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ [19, Proposition 9.4]. The finite dimensional irreducible $\boxtimes_q$ -modules are described in [19]. For further information on $\boxtimes_q$ see [18]. We note that $\boxtimes_q$ is a *q*-analogue of the tetrahedron algebra $\boxtimes$ [7, 11, 14, 15, 23].

We now fix some notation and then recall the definition of $\boxtimes_q$.

Throughout the rest of this paper $\mathbb{K}$ will denote an algebraically closed field. We fix a nonzero scalar $q \in \mathbb{K}$ that is not a root of 1. For an integer $n \geq 0$ we define

$$[n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}. \quad (3)$$

We let $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ denote the cyclic group of order 4.

Definition 2.1 [19, Definition 6.1] Let $\boxtimes_q$ denote the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra that has generators

$$\{x_{ij} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_4, j - i = 1 \text{ or } j - i = 2\}$$

and the following relations:

- (i) For $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ such that $j - i = 2$,

$$x_{ij}x_{ji} = 1.$$

- (ii) For $h, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ such that the pair $(i - h, j - i)$ is one of $(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1)$,

$$\frac{qx_{ij}x_{hi} - q^{-1}x_{hi}x_{ij}}{q - q^{-1}} = 1. \quad (4)$$

(iii) For $h, i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ such that $i - h = j - i = k - j = 1$,

$$x_{hi}^3 x_{jk} - [3]x_{hi}^2 x_{jk} x_{hi} + [3]x_{hi} x_{jk} x_{hi}^2 - x_{jk} x_{hi}^3 = 0. \quad (5)$$

We call $\boxtimes_q$ the *q-tetrahedron algebra*. We refer to the x_{ij} as the *standard generators* for $\boxtimes_q$.

Remark 2.2 The equations (5) are called the *cubic q-Serre relations*.

We now recall a few basic facts about $\boxtimes_q$ -modules. Let V denote a finite dimensional irreducible $\boxtimes_q$ -module. By [19, Theorem 12.3] each generator x_{ij} of $\boxtimes_q$ is diagonalizable on V . Moreover, there exist an integer $d \geq 0$ and a scalar $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ such that for each generator x_{ij} the set of distinct eigenvalues of x_{ij} on V is $\{\varepsilon q^{2n-d} \mid 0 \leq n \leq d\}$. We call ε the *type* of V .

We now discuss the connection between q -geometric tridiagonal pairs and finite dimensional irreducible $\boxtimes_q$ -modules. Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let A, A^* denote a q -geometric tridiagonal pair on V . Then there exists an irreducible $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on V of type 1 such that A acts as x_{01} and A^* acts as x_{23} . Conversely, let V denote a finite dimensional irreducible $\boxtimes_q$ -module of type 1. Then the generators x_{01}, x_{23} act on V as a q -geometric tridiagonal pair [47, Theorem 34.14].

Inspired by the above result we consider the connection between q -mixed tridiagonal pairs and finite dimensional irreducible $\boxtimes_q$ -modules. In the next section we give a detailed description of the situation we wish to consider.

3 Assumptions and motivation

Throughout the rest of the paper we will be concerned with the following situation.

Assumption 3.1 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension and let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V . Let $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) denote a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*). For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let θ_i (resp. θ_i^*) denote the eigenvalue of A (resp. A^*) associated with V_i (resp. V_i^*). We assume there exists a nonzero $c \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\theta_i = q^{2i-d}$ and $\theta_i^* = q^{2i-d} + c q^{d-2i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

To motivate our main result we make some comments.

Lemma 3.2 [16, Theorem 10.1, Theorem 11.1] *With reference to Assumption 3.1 we have*

$$(i) \quad A^3 A^* - [3]A^2 A^* A + [3]A A^* A^2 - A^* A^3 = 0,$$

$$(ii) \quad A^* A^3 - [3]A^* A^2 A A^* + [3]A^* A A^* A^2 - A A^* A^3 + c(q^2 - q^{-2})^2(A^* A - A A^*) = 0.$$

Using (4) and (5) it can be shown that for $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{K}$ the elements x_{01} and $x_{30} + c x_{23}$ of $\boxtimes_q$ satisfy the relations in Lemma 3.2. Given this, it is natural to ask the following question. With reference to Assumption 3.1 when does there exist an irreducible $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on V of type 1 such that A acts as x_{01} and A^* acts as $x_{30} + c x_{23}$? In this paper we answer this question; our main result is Theorem 5.4. In the next section we establish some notation needed to state our main result.

4 A split decomposition and its raising/lowering maps

We now recall the notion of a split decomposition of a tridiagonal pair and its corresponding raising and lowering maps.

Definition 4.1 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. By a *decomposition* of V we mean a sequence $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d$ consisting of nonzero subspaces of V such that $V = \sum_{i=0}^d U_i$ (direct sum). For notational convenience we set $U_{-1} := 0, U_{d+1} := 0$.

Referring to Assumption 3.1 the sequences $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ and $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ are both decompositions of V . We now mention another decomposition of interest.

Lemma 4.2 [16, Theorem 4.6] *With reference to Assumption 3.1, for $0 \leq i \leq d$ define*

$$U_i = (V_0^* + \cdots + V_i^*) \cap (V_i + \cdots + V_d).$$

Then $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V . Moreover, for $0 \leq i \leq d$

$$(A^* - \theta_i^* I)U_i \subseteq U_{i-1}, \quad (A - \theta_i I)U_i \subseteq U_{i+1}, \quad (6)$$

$$U_0 + \cdots + U_i = V_0^* + \cdots + V_i^*, \quad U_i + \cdots + U_d = V_i + \cdots + V_d. \quad (7)$$

We call $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d$ the split decomposition of V corresponding to the given orderings $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{V_i^\}_{i=0}^d$.*

Definition 4.3 [16, Definition 5.2] *With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Lemma 4.2 we define the following. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ we define a linear transformation $F_i : V \rightarrow V$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} (F_i - I)U_i &= 0, \\ F_i U_j &= 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq i, \quad (0 \leq j \leq d). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, F_i is the projection map from V onto U_i . We call F_i the i th projection map corresponding to $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d$.

Definition 4.4 [16, Definition 6.1] *With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 4.3 we define*

$$R = A - \sum_{h=0}^d \theta_h F_h, \quad L = A^* - \sum_{h=0}^d \theta_h^* F_h. \quad (8)$$

Combining (6) and (8) we have $RU_i \subseteq U_{i+1}$ and $LU_i \subseteq U_{i-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. We call R (resp. L) the raising (resp. lowering) map corresponding to $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d$.

5 The main theorem

In this section we state our main result. We begin with a few comments.

Lemma 5.1 [35, Theorem 1.3] *With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Lemma 4.2 we have $\dim(U_0) = 1$.*

Definition 5.2 With reference to Definition 4.4 and Lemma 5.1 we find that for $0 \leq i \leq d$ U_0 is contained in an eigenspace for $L^i R^i$; let ζ_i denote the corresponding eigenvalue.

With reference to (3) for an integer $n \geq 0$ we define

$$[n]! = [n][n-1] \cdots [1]. \quad (9)$$

We interpret $[0]! = 1$.

Definition 5.3 With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 5.2 we define a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ (λ indeterminate) by

$$P = \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{q^{i(1-i)} \zeta_i \lambda^i}{[i]!^2}.$$

We now state our main result.

Theorem 5.4 *With reference to Assumption 3.1 the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on V such that x_{01} acts as A and $x_{30} + cx_{23}$ acts as A^* .*
- (ii) *$P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$ where P is from Definition 5.3.*

Suppose (i),(ii) hold. Then the $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on V is unique, irreducible, and has type 1.

6 An outline of the proof of Theorem 5.4

Our proof of Theorem 5.4 will consume the remainder of the paper from Section 7 to Section 18. Here we sketch an overview of the argument.

We adopt Assumption 3.1. The main idea used in proving Theorem 5.4 is the following. We modify the linear transformation $A^* : V \rightarrow V$ to produce a new linear transformation $\tilde{A}^* : V \rightarrow V$ and we show that $A, \tilde{A}^*$ is a q -geometric tridiagonal pair on V if and only if $P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$. Then we apply [22, Theorem 2.7] and [19, Theorem 10.4] to $A, \tilde{A}^*$ to produce the $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on V as in Theorem 5.4. The plan for the paper is as follows. In Sections 7 and 8 we present some lemmas and definitions which will be used as tools throughout the remainder of the paper. In Section 9 we define the linear transformation $\tilde{A}^* : V \rightarrow V$. We show that $\tilde{A}^*$ is diagonalizable on V and the set of distinct eigenvalues

of $\tilde{A}^*$ on V is $\{q^{d-2i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\}$. In Section 10 we show that A and $\tilde{A}^*$ satisfy Definition 1.1(ii),(iii). Sections 11 through 17 are devoted to showing that A and $\tilde{A}^*$ satisfy Definition 1.1(iv) if and only if $P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$. We note that the arguments given in Sections 11 through 17 are a modification of the arguments from [22, Sections 7–12]. In Section 18 we show how to use [22, Theorem 2.7] and [19, Theorem 10.4] applied to the q -geometric tridiagonal pair $A, \tilde{A}^*$ to produce the $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on V as in Theorem 5.4.

7 Some more raising/lowering maps

We now present another split decomposition for the tridiagonal pair A, A^* and its corresponding raising and lowering maps.

Definition 7.1 With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Lemma 4.2 let $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote the split decomposition of V corresponding to the orderings $\{V_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d, \{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$. With reference to Definition 4.3 for $0 \leq i \leq d$, let G_i denote the i th projection map corresponding to $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^d$. With reference to Definition 4.4 let r (resp. l) denote the raising (resp. lowering) map corresponding to $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^d$.

We make the following three remarks in order to emphasize the similarities and differences between the two split decompositions $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d$ and $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^d$.

Remark 7.2 With reference to Definition 7.1 we emphasize the following. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ we have

$$W_i = (V_0^* + \cdots + V_i^*) \cap (V_0 + \cdots + V_{d-i}).$$

Moreover, for $0 \leq i \leq d$

$$(A^* - \theta_i^* I)W_i \subseteq W_{i-1}, \quad (A - \theta_{d-i} I)W_i \subseteq W_{i+1}, \quad (10)$$

$$W_0 + \cdots + W_i = V_0^* + \cdots + V_i^*, \quad W_i + \cdots + W_d = V_0 + \cdots + V_{d-i}. \quad (11)$$

Remark 7.3 With reference to Definition 7.1 we emphasize that for $0 \leq i \leq d$

$$\begin{aligned} (G_i - I)W_i &= 0, \\ G_i W_j &= 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq i, \quad (0 \leq j \leq d). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.4 With reference to Definition 7.1 we emphasize that

$$r = A - \sum_{h=0}^d \theta_{d-h} G_h, \quad l = A^* - \sum_{h=0}^d \theta_h^* G_h. \quad (12)$$

Moreover, for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $rW_i \subseteq W_{i+1}$ and $lW_i \subseteq W_{i-1}$.

8 Some linear algebra

In this section we state some linear algebraic results that will be useful throughout the paper. We use the following notation. Let V denote a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ and let $X : V \rightarrow V$ denote a linear transformation. For $\theta \in \mathbb{K}$ we define

$$V_X(\theta) = \{v \in V \mid Xv = \theta v\}.$$

Observe that θ is an eigenvalue of X if and only if $V_X(\theta) \neq 0$, and in this case $V_X(\theta)$ is the corresponding eigenspace.

Lemma 8.1 [19, Lemma 11.2] *Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let $X : V \rightarrow V$ and $Y : V \rightarrow V$ denote linear transformations. Then for all nonzero $\theta \in \mathbb{K}$ the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The expression $qXY - q^{-1}YX - (q - q^{-1})I$ vanishes on $V_X(\theta)$.*
- (ii) *$(Y - \theta^{-1}I)V_X(\theta) \subseteq V_X(q^{-2}\theta)$.*

Lemma 8.2 [19, Lemma 11.3] *Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let $X : V \rightarrow V$ and $Y : V \rightarrow V$ denote linear transformations. Then for all nonzero $\theta \in \mathbb{K}$ the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The expression $qXY - q^{-1}YX - (q - q^{-1})I$ vanishes on $V_Y(\theta)$.*
- (ii) *$(X - \theta^{-1}I)V_Y(\theta) \subseteq V_Y(q^2\theta)$.*

Lemma 8.3 *Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let $X : V \rightarrow V$ and $Y : V \rightarrow V$ denote linear transformations. Fix a nonzero $c \in \mathbb{K}$. Then for all nonzero $\theta \in \mathbb{K}$ the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The expression $qXY - q^{-1}YX - (q - q^{-1})(X^2 + cI)$ vanishes on $V_X(\theta)$.*
- (ii) *$(Y - \theta I - c\theta^{-1}I)V_X(\theta) \subseteq V_X(q^{-2}\theta)$.*

Proof: For $v \in V_X(\theta)$ we have

$$(qXY - q^{-1}YX - (q - q^{-1})(X^2 + cI))v = q(X - q^{-2}\theta I)(Y - \theta I - c\theta^{-1}I)v$$

and the result follows. □

Lemma 8.4 [19, Lemma 11.4] *Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let $X : V \rightarrow V$ and $Y : V \rightarrow V$ denote linear transformations such that*

$$\frac{qXY - q^{-1}YX}{q - q^{-1}} = I.$$

Then for all nonzero $\theta \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_X(q^{-2n}\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_Y(q^{2n}\theta^{-1}). \quad (13)$$

9 The linear transformations B and $\tilde{A}^*$

Definition 9.1 With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 7.1 let $B : V \rightarrow V$ denote the linear transformation such that for $0 \leq i \leq d$, W_i is an eigenspace of B with eigenvalue q^{2i-d} .

Lemma 9.2 With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 9.1 we have

$$\frac{qAB - q^{-1}BA}{q - q^{-1}} = I, \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{qBA^* - q^{-1}A^*B}{q - q^{-1}} = B^2 + cI. \quad (15)$$

Proof: Recall that $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V . By (10) $(A - q^{d-2i}I)W_i \subseteq W_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Using this and Lemma 8.2 we obtain (14). By (10) $(A^* - q^{2i-d} - cq^{d-2i}I)W_i \subseteq W_{i-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Using this and Lemma 8.3 we obtain (15). $\square$

Definition 9.3 With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 9.1 let $\tilde{A}^* : V \rightarrow V$ denote the following linear transformation:

$$\tilde{A}^* = c^{-1}(A^* - B).$$

Lemma 9.4 With reference to Definition 7.1 and Definition 9.3 we have

$$(\tilde{A}^* - q^{d-2i}I)W_i \subseteq W_{i-1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d)$$

Proof: Let i be given. Recall that W_i is an eigenspace for B with eigenvalue q^{2i-d} . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{A}^* - q^{d-2i}I)W_i &= c^{-1}(A^* - q^{2i-d}I - cq^{d-2i}I)W_i && \text{(by Definition 9.3)} \\ &\subseteq W_{i-1} && \text{(by (10)).} \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 9.5 With reference to Definition 9.1 and Definition 9.3 we have

$$\frac{qB\tilde{A}^* - q^{-1}\tilde{A}^*B}{q - q^{-1}} = I. \quad (16)$$

Proof: Immediate from Lemma 8.1 and Lemma 9.4. $\square$

Lemma 9.6 With reference to Definition 9.3 the following holds. $\tilde{A}^*$ is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\{q^{d-2i}\}_{i=0}^d$. Moreover, for $0 \leq i \leq d$, the dimension of the eigenspace of $\tilde{A}^*$ associated with q^{d-2i} is equal to the dimension of W_i .

Proof: We start by displaying the eigenvalues of $\tilde{A}^*$. Notice that the scalars q^{d-2i} ($0 \leq i \leq d$) are distinct since q is not a root of unity. Using Lemma 9.4 we see that, with respect to an appropriate basis for V , $\tilde{A}^*$ is represented by a upper triangular matrix that has diagonal entries $q^d, q^{d-2}, \dots, q^{-d}$, with q^{d-2i} appearing $\dim(W_i)$ times for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Hence for $0 \leq i \leq d$ q^{d-2i} is a root of the characteristic polynomial of $\tilde{A}^*$ with multiplicity $\dim(W_i)$. It remains to show that $\tilde{A}^*$ is diagonalizable. To do this we show that the minimal polynomial of $\tilde{A}^*$ has distinct roots. Recall that $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V . Using Lemma 9.4 we find that $\prod_{i=0}^d (\tilde{A}^* - q^{d-2i}I)V = 0$. By this and since q^{d-2i} ($0 \leq i \leq d$) are distinct we see that the minimal polynomial of $\tilde{A}^*$ has distinct roots. We conclude that $\tilde{A}^*$ is diagonalizable and the result follows. $\square$

Definition 9.7 With reference to Definition 9.3 and Lemma 9.6, for $0 \leq i \leq d$ we let $\tilde{V}_i^*$ denote the eigenspace for $\tilde{A}^*$ with eigenvalue q^{d-2i} . For notational convenience we set $\tilde{V}_{-1}^* := 0, \tilde{V}_{d+1}^* := 0$. We observe that $\{\tilde{V}_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V .

10 The linear transformations $A, \tilde{A}^*$ satisfy the cubic q -Serre relations

Lemma 10.1 *With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 9.3 we have*

- (i) $A^3\tilde{A}^* - [3]A^2\tilde{A}^*A + [3]A\tilde{A}^*A^2 - \tilde{A}^*A^3 = 0,$
- (ii) $\tilde{A}^{*3}A - [3]\tilde{A}^{*2}A\tilde{A}^* + [3]\tilde{A}^*A\tilde{A}^{*2} - A\tilde{A}^{*3} = 0.$

Proof: By Definition 9.3 we have $A^* = c\tilde{A}^* + B$. Substitute this into Lemma 3.2(i),(ii) and simplify the result using (14) and (16). $\square$

Lemma 10.2 *With reference to Assumption 3.1, Definition 9.3, and Definition 9.7 we have*

- (i) $\tilde{A}^*V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d,$
- (ii) $A\tilde{V}_i^* \subseteq \tilde{V}_{i-1}^* + \tilde{V}_i^* + \tilde{V}_{i+1}^*, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d.$

Proof: Immediate from Lemma 10.1 and [19, Lemma 11.1]. $\square$

Remark 10.3 Recall that in order to prove Theorem 5.4 we need to show that $A, \tilde{A}^*$ is a q -geometric tridiagonal pair on V if and only if $P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$ (see Theorem 17.2). Combining Assumption 3.1, Lemma 9.6, and Lemma 10.2 we have that $A, \tilde{A}^*$ satisfy Definition 1.1(i),(ii),(iii). Sections 11 through 17 are devoted to showing that $A, \tilde{A}^*$ satisfy Definition 1.1(iv) if and only if $P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$ (see Theorem 17.1).

11 The linear transformation K

Definition 11.1 With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Lemma 4.2 let $K : V \rightarrow V$ denote the linear transformation such that for $0 \leq i \leq d$, U_i is an eigenspace of K with eigenvalue q^{2i-d} .

Remark 11.2 Combining (6) and Definition 11.1 we have

$$(A - K)U_i \subseteq U_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \quad (17)$$

$$(A^* - K - cK^{-1})U_i \subseteq U_{i-1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d). \quad (18)$$

The goal for the remainder of this section is to prove a number of relations between the linear transformations A, A^*, B, K, K^{-1} which will be used in Section 13.

Lemma 11.3 *With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 11.1 we have*

$$\frac{qK^{-1}A - q^{-1}AK^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} = I, \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{qKA^* - q^{-1}A^*K}{q - q^{-1}} = K^2 + cI. \quad (20)$$

Proof: Recall that $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V . Combining Definition 11.1, (17), and Lemma 8.1 we obtain (19). Combining Definition 11.1, (18), and Lemma 8.3 we obtain (20). $\square$

Lemma 11.4 *With reference to Lemma 4.2, Definition 9.1, and Definition 11.1 we have*

$$(B - K)U_i \subseteq U_{i-1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \quad (21)$$

$$(A^* - B - cK^{-1})U_i \subseteq U_{i-1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d). \quad (22)$$

Proof: First we show (21). Using Lemma 8.1 and (14) we have

$$(B - q^{d-2i}I)V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d). \quad (23)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (B - K)U_i &= (B - q^{2i-d}I)U_i && \text{(by Definition 11.1)} \\ &\subseteq (B - q^{2i-d}I)(U_0 + \cdots + U_i) \\ &= (B - q^{2i-d}I)(W_0 + \cdots + W_i) && \text{(by (7), (11))} \\ &\subseteq W_0 + \cdots + W_{i-1} && \text{(by Definition 9.1)} \\ &= U_0 + \cdots + U_{i-1} && \text{(by (7), (11))} \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} (B - K)U_i &= (B - q^{2i-d}I)U_i && \text{(by Definition 11.1)} \\ &\subseteq (B - q^{2i-d}I)(U_i + \cdots + U_d) \\ &= (B - q^{2i-d}I)(V_i + \cdots + V_d) && \text{(by (7))} \\ &\subseteq V_{i-1} + \cdots + V_d && \text{(by (23))} \\ &= U_{i-1} + \cdots + U_d && \text{(by (7)).} \end{aligned}$$

Using this and since $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V we have (21). Combining (18) and (21) we obtain (22). $\square$

Lemma 11.5 *With reference to Definition 9.1 and Definition 11.1 we have*

$$\frac{qBK^{-1} - q^{-1}K^{-1}B}{q - q^{-1}} = I. \quad (24)$$

Proof: Recall that $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V . Combining Lemma 8.2 and (21) we obtain (24). $\square$

Lemma 11.6 *With reference to Assumption 3.1, Definition 9.1 and Definition 11.1 for an integer $j \geq 1$ we have*

$$\frac{q^j(B - K)^j(A^* - K - cK^{-1}) - q^{-j}(A^* - K - cK^{-1})(B - K)^j}{q^j - q^{-j}} = (B - K)^{j+1}, \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{q^j(A - K)^j(B - K) - q^{-j}(B - K)(A - K)^j}{q^j - q^{-j}} = -(q^{2-2j}K^2 - I)(A - K)^{j-1}. \quad (26)$$

Proof: First we show (25) by induction on j . Multiplying out the left hand side of (25) with $j = 1$ and simplifying the result using (15), (20), and (24) we obtain the right hand side of (25) with $j = 1$. To prove (25) for $j \geq 2$ note that (25) is equivalent to

$$(B - K)^j(A^* - K - cK^{-1}) = q^{-2j}(A^* - K - cK^{-1})(B - K)^j + q^{-j}(q^j - q^{-j})(B - K)^{j+1}.$$

This is shown by a routine induction argument using the $j = 1$ case. We now show (26) by induction on j . Multiplying out the left hand side of (26) with $j = 1$ and simplifying the result using (14), (19), and (24) we obtain the right hand side of (26) with $j = 1$. Note that (19) is equivalent to

$$(A - K)K = q^{-2}K(A - K). \quad (27)$$

To prove (26) for $j \geq 2$ note that (26) is equivalent to

$$(B - K)(A - K)^j = q^{2j}(A - K)^j(B - K) + q^{2-j}(q^j - q^{-j})(K^2 - q^{2j-2}I)(A - K)^{j-1}.$$

This is shown by a routine induction argument using the $j = 1$ case and (27). $\square$

12 The projections $E_i, \tilde{E}_i^*$

Definition 12.1 With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 9.7 we define the following linear transformations.

(i) For $0 \leq i \leq d$, we let E_i denote the linear transformation on V satisfying both

$$\begin{aligned} (E_i - I)V_i &= 0, \\ E_i V_j &= 0 \text{ if } j \neq i, \quad (0 \leq j \leq d). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For $0 \leq i \leq d$, we let $\tilde{E}_i^*$ denote the linear transformation on V satisfying both

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{E}_i^* - I)\tilde{V}_i^* &= 0, \\ \tilde{E}_i^* \tilde{V}_j^* &= 0 \text{ if } j \neq i, \quad (0 \leq j \leq d). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, E_i (resp. $\tilde{E}_i^*$) is the projection map from V onto V_i (resp. $\tilde{V}_i^*$).

Lemma 12.2 *With reference to Assumption 3.1, Definition 9.3, and Definition 12.1, for $0 \leq i \leq d$ we have*

$$E_i = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq d \\ j \neq i}} \frac{A - q^{2j-d}I}{q^{2i-d} - q^{2j-d}}, \quad (28)$$

$$\tilde{E}_i^* = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq d \\ j \neq i}} \frac{\tilde{A}^* - q^{d-2j}I}{q^{d-2i} - q^{d-2j}}. \quad (29)$$

Proof: Concerning (28), let E'_i denote the expression on the right in that line. Using Assumption 3.1 we find $(E'_i - I)V_i = 0$ and $E'_i V_j = 0$ ($0 \leq j \leq d, j \neq i$). By this and Definition 12.1(i) we find $E_i = E'_i$. We have now proved (28). The proof of (29) is similar. $\square$

Lemma 12.3 *With reference to Assumption 3.1, Remark 7.3, and Definition 12.1(i) the following holds for $0 \leq i \leq d$: The linear transformations*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{d-i} & \rightarrow & V_i \\ w & \rightarrow & E_i w \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_i & \rightarrow & W_{d-i} \\ v & \rightarrow & G_{d-i} v \end{array}$$

are bijections, and moreover, they are inverses.

Proof: It suffices to show $G_{d-i}E_i - I$ vanishes on W_{d-i} and $E_i G_{d-i} - I$ vanishes on V_i . We will use the following notation. Recall by (11) that for $0 \leq j \leq d$, $W_{d-j} + \cdots + W_d = V_0 + \cdots + V_j$; let Z_j denote this common sum. We set $Z_{-1} = 0$. By the construction $Z_i = W_{d-i} + Z_{i-1}$ (direct sum) and $Z_i = V_i + Z_{i-1}$ (direct sum). Also $(I - G_{d-i})Z_i = Z_{i-1}$ and $(I - E_i)Z_i = Z_{i-1}$. We now show $G_{d-i}E_i - I$ vanishes on W_{d-i} . Pick $w \in W_{d-i}$. Using $G_{d-i}E_i - I = (G_{d-i} - I)E_i + E_i - I$ and our preliminary comments we routinely find $(G_{d-i}E_i - I)w \in Z_{i-1}$. But $(G_{d-i}E_i - I)w \in W_{d-i}$ by construction and $W_{d-i} \cap Z_{i-1} = 0$

so $(G_{d-i}E_i - I)w = 0$. We now show $E_iG_{d-i} - I$ vanishes on V_i . Pick $v \in V_i$. Using $E_iG_{d-i} - I = (E_i - I)G_{d-i} + G_{d-i} - I$ and our preliminary comments we routinely find $(E_iG_{d-i} - I)v \in Z_{i-1}$. But $(E_iG_{d-i} - I)v \in V_i$ by construction and $V_i \cap Z_{i-1} = 0$ so $(E_iG_{d-i} - I)v = 0$. We have now shown $G_{d-i}E_i - I$ vanishes on W_{d-i} and $E_iG_{d-i} - I$ vanishes on V_i . Consequently the given maps are inverses. Each of these maps has an inverse and is therefore a bijection. $\square$

13 How $\tilde{E}_0^*, E_d, P$ are related

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem which will be used in the proof of Theorem 17.1.

Theorem 13.1 *With reference to Assumption 3.1, Lemma 4.2, Definition 5.3 and Definition 12.1, for $u \in U_0$ we have*

$$\tilde{E}_0^* E_d u = c^{-d} q^{2d(1-d)} P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) u. \quad (30)$$

Before we prove Theorem 13.1 we develop some notation and prove some preliminary lemmas.

With reference to (9) for integers n, m with $n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$ we define

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[n]!}{[m]! [n-m]!}. \quad (31)$$

Lemma 13.2 For integers n, m with $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq m \leq n-1$ we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ m \end{bmatrix} + q^n \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix} = q^m \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}, \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ m \end{bmatrix} + q^{-n} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix} = q^{-m} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}. \quad (33)$$

Proof: Immediate from (3), (9) and (31). $\square$

The following two lemmas provide key formulas to be used in the proof of Theorem 13.1.

Lemma 13.3 *With reference to Assumption 3.1, Definition 9.1 and Definition 11.1 for an integer $i \geq 0$ we have*

$$(A^* - B - cK^{-1})^i = \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^j q^{j-ji} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix} (A^* - K - cK^{-1})^{i-j} (B - K)^j. \quad (34)$$

Proof: We prove (34) by induction on i . For $i = 0$ both sides of (34) equal I . Now let $i \geq 1$. Abbreviate $\Delta = A^* - K - cK^{-1}$ and $\Gamma = B - K$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(A^* - B - cK^{-1})^i &= (A^* - B - cK^{-1})^{i-1}(\Delta - \Gamma) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^j q^{2j-j^2} \begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ j \end{bmatrix} \Delta^{i-j-1} (\Gamma^j \Delta - \Gamma^{j+1}) && \text{(by induction)} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^j q^{-ji} \begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ j \end{bmatrix} \Delta^{i-j-1} (\Delta \Gamma^j - \Gamma^{j+1}) && \text{(by (25))} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^j q^{-ji} \begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ j \end{bmatrix} \Delta^{i-j} \Gamma^j \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^j q^{i-j^2} \begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix} \Delta^{i-j} \Gamma^j \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^j q^{j-j^2} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix} \Delta^{i-j} \Gamma^j && \text{(by (32)).}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 13.4 *Fix an integer $i \geq 1$. With reference to Definition 11.1, for integers $\mu, \nu \geq 0$, define a polynomial $f_{\mu, \nu} \in \mathbb{K}[K^2]$ by $f_{\mu, \nu} = \prod_{s=0}^{\mu-1} (K^2 - q^{2i-2s-2\nu} I)$. With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 9.1 for $1 \leq j \leq i$ we have*

$$(B - K)^j (A - K)^i = \sum_{h=0}^j q^{M_{h,i,j}} N_{h,i} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ h \end{bmatrix} f_{h,j} (A - K)^{i-h} (B - K)^{j-h} \quad (35)$$

where $M_{h,i,j} = (h/2)(3h-1) + hj - 3hi + 2ij$ and $N_{h,i} = \begin{bmatrix} i \\ h \end{bmatrix} [h]! (q - q^{-1})^h$.

Proof: Before we prove (35) we have a comment. Observe that (24) is equivalent to

$$(B - K)K = q^2 K(B - K). \quad (36)$$

To prove (35) we let i be given and use induction on j . For $j = 1$ (35) is equivalent to (26). Now let $j \geq 2$. Abbreviate $\Delta = A - K$ and $\Gamma = B - K$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^j \Delta^i &= \Gamma \Gamma^{j-1} \Delta^i \\
&= \Gamma \sum_{h=0}^{j-1} q^{M_{h,i,j-1}} N_{h,i} \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ h \end{bmatrix} f_{h,j-1} \Delta^{i-h} \Gamma^{j-h-1} && \text{(by induction)} \\
&= \sum_{h=0}^{j-1} q^{M_{h,i,j}} q^{-h-2i} N_{h,i} \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ h \end{bmatrix} q^{4h} f_{h,j+1} \Gamma \Delta^{i-h} \Gamma^{j-h-1} && \text{(by (36))} \\
&= \sum_{h=0}^{j-1} q^{M_{h,i,j}} q^{3h-2i} N_{h,i} \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ h \end{bmatrix} f_{h,j+1} q^{2i-2h} \Delta^{i-h} \Gamma^{j-h} && \text{(by (26))} \\
&\quad + \sum_{h=0}^{j-1} q^{M_{h+1,i,j}} q^{h+1-j} N_{h+1,i} \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ h \end{bmatrix} f_{h,j+1} (K^2 - q^{2i-2h-2} I) \Delta^{i-h-1} \Gamma^{j-h-1} \\
&= \sum_{h=0}^{j-1} q^{M_{h,i,j}} q^h N_{h,i} \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ h \end{bmatrix} f_{h-1,j+1} (K^2 - q^{2i-2j-2h} I) \Delta^{i-h} \Gamma^{j-h} \\
&\quad + \sum_{h=1}^j q^{M_{h,i,j}} q^{h-j} N_{h,i} \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ h-1 \end{bmatrix} f_{h-1,j+1} (K^2 - q^{2i-2h} I) \Delta^{i-h} \Gamma^{j-h} \\
&= \sum_{h=0}^j q^{M_{h,i,j}} N_{h,i} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ h \end{bmatrix} f_{h-1,j+1} (K^2 - q^{2i-2j} I) \Delta^{i-h} \Gamma^{j-h} && \text{(by (32), (33))} \\
&= \sum_{h=0}^j q^{M_{h,i,j}} N_{h,i} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ h \end{bmatrix} f_{h,j} \Delta^{i-h} \Gamma^{j-h}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We are now ready to prove Theorem 13.1.

Proof of Theorem 13.1: Let $u \in U_0$. Using Definition 9.3 and Lemma 12.2 we have

$$\tilde{E}_0^* E_d u = c^{-d} q^{d-d^2} (q - q^{-1})^{-2d} [d]!^{-2} \prod_{j=1}^d (A^* - B - cq^{d-2j} I) \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} (A - q^{2j-d} I) u. \quad (37)$$

Applying Definition 11.1, (17), and (22) to (37) we have

$$\tilde{E}_0^* E_d u = c^{-d} q^{d-d^2} (q - q^{-1})^{-2d} [d]!^{-2} (A^* - B - cK^{-1})^d (A - K)^d u. \quad (38)$$

We now express the right hand side of (38) in terms of the maps R, L from Definition 4.4. By (21) we have $(B - K)u = 0$. Using Definition 4.3, (8), and Definition 11.1 we have $R = A - K$ and $L = A^* - K - cK^{-1}$. Using Lemma 13.3, Lemma 13.4, and the previous two sentences we have

$$(A^* - B - cK^{-1})^d (A - K)^d u = \sum_{j=0}^d C_j L^{d-j} \prod_{s=0}^{j-1} (K^2 - q^{2d-2s-2j} I) R^{d-j} u \quad (39)$$

where $C_j = (-1)^j q^{(j/2)(5j+1)-2dj} \begin{bmatrix} d \\ j \end{bmatrix}^2 [j]! (q - q^{-1})^j$.

Using Definition 4.4 we have $R^{d-j} u \in U_{d-j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq d$. So by Definition 11.1 $(K^2 - q^{2d-4j} I) R^{d-j} u = 0$. Using this on the right hand side of (39) and simplifying the result we have

$$(A^* - B - cK^{-1})^d (A - K)^d u = \sum_{j=0}^d q^{j-j^2} [d]!^2 [d-j]!^{-2} (q - q^{-1})^{2j} L^{d-j} R^{d-j} u. \quad (40)$$

Changing the index of summation in (40) by letting $j = d - t$, using Definition 5.2, and simplifying the result we have

$$(A^* - B - cK^{-1})^d (A - K)^d u = q^{d-d^2} [d]!^2 (q - q^{-1})^{2d} \sum_{t=0}^d q^{t(1-t)} [t]!^{-2} (q^{2d-2} (q - q^{-1})^{-2})^t \zeta_t u.$$

Combining the previous line with (38) and using Definition 5.3 we obtain (30). □

14 The raising/lowering maps revisited

In this section we prove a number of relations between r, l from Definition 7.1 and B from Definition 9.1. These relations will help to motivate the next section.

Lemma 14.1 *With reference to Assumption 3.1, Definition 7.1, and Definition 9.1 we have*

$$(i) \ r = A - B^{-1},$$

$$(ii) \ l = A^* - B - cB^{-1}.$$

Proof: (i) Recall by Assumption 3.1 that $\theta_i = q^{2i-d}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Using Remark 7.3 and Definition 9.1 we find $\sum_{i=0}^d \theta_{d-i} G_i = B^{-1}$. Using this and (12) we obtain the desired result. (ii) Similar to (i). $\square$

Lemma 14.2 *With reference to Definition 7.1 and Definition 9.1 we have*

$$(i) \ Br = q^2 r B,$$

$$(ii) \ Bl = q^{-2} l B.$$

Proof: (i) Recall by Definition 7.1 that $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V . So it suffices to show $Br - q^2 r B$ vanishes on W_i for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Let i be given and let $w \in W_i$. Using Remark 7.4 and Definition 9.1 we find rw is an eigenvector for B with eigenvalue q^{2i+2-d} . From this we find $(Br - q^2 r B)w = 0$ and the result follows. (ii) Similar to (i). $\square$

Lemma 14.3 *With reference to Definition 7.1 and Definition 9.1 we have*

$$(i) \ r^3 l - [3]r^2 l r + [3]r l r^2 - l r^3 = q^{-4} (q - q^{-1})^3 [3]! r^2 B^{-2},$$

$$(ii) \ r l^3 - [3]l r l^2 + [3]l^2 r l - l^3 r = q^{-4} (q - q^{-1})^3 [3]! B^{-2} l^2.$$

Proof: By Lemma 14.1 we have $A = r + B^{-1}$ and $A^* = l + B + cB^{-1}$. Substituting these into Lemma 3.2(i)(ii) and simplifying using Lemma 14.2 we obtain the desired result. $\square$

15 The algebra $A_q(\alpha)$

Motivated by Lemma 14.2 and Lemma 14.3 we define an algebra $A_q(\alpha)$. We find a spanning set for $A_q(\alpha)$ that will be used in the proof of Lemma 16.6 in the next section.

Definition 15.1 Fix a scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$. Let $A_q(\alpha)$ denote the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra defined by generators x, y, z, z^{-1} subject to the relations

$$z z^{-1} = 1 = z^{-1} z, \tag{41}$$

$$z x = q^2 x z, \tag{42}$$

$$z y = q^{-2} y z, \tag{43}$$

$$x^3 y - [3]x^2 y x + [3]x y x^2 - y x^3 = \alpha x^2 z^{-2}, \tag{44}$$

$$x y^3 - [3]y x y^2 + [3]y^2 x y - y^3 x = \alpha z^{-2} y^2. \tag{45}$$

Note 15.2 In the case $\alpha = 0$ we note that the algebra $A_q(0)$ is the algebra $\mathcal{B}$ from [6, Definition 1.10]. The algebra $A_q(\alpha)$ is a special case of a more general algebra currently being studied called the augmented tridiagonal algebra. The proof of Theorem 15.9 involves an argument which is adapted from [T. Ito and P. Terwilliger, *The augmented tridiagonal algebra*, in preparation].

Before we display a spanning set for $A_q(\alpha)$ we have a number of preliminary comments.

For the moment we view x, y as formal symbols and let F denote the free unital associative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra on x, y .

Definition 15.3 By a *word in F* we mean an element of F of the form $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ where n is a nonnegative integer and $a_i \in \{x, y\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We call n the *length* of $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$. We interpret the word of length 0 as the identity element of F . We say this word is *trivial*. Observe $F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n$ (direct sum) where F_n denotes the subspace of F spanned by all the words of length n . Moreover, $F_n F_m = F_{n+m}$.

Definition 15.4 Let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ denote a word in F . Observe there exists a unique sequence $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ of positive integers such that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is one of $x^{i_1} y^{i_2} x^{i_3} \cdots y^{i_s}$ or $x^{i_1} y^{i_2} x^{i_3} \cdots x^{i_s}$ or $y^{i_1} x^{i_2} y^{i_3} \cdots x^{i_s}$ or $y^{i_1} x^{i_2} y^{i_3} \cdots y^{i_s}$. We call the sequence $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ the *signature* of $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$.

Example 15.5 Each of the words $yx^2 y^2 x$, $xy^2 x^2 y$ has signature $(1, 2, 2, 1)$.

Definition 15.6 Let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ denote a word in F and let $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ denote the corresponding signature. We say $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is *reducible* whenever there exists an integer η ($2 \leq \eta \leq s-1$) such that $i_{\eta-1} \geq i_{\eta} < i_{\eta+1}$. We say a word in F is *irreducible* whenever it is not reducible.

Example 15.7 A word in F of length less than 4 is irreducible. The only reducible words in F of length 4 are xyx^2 and yxy^2 .

In the following lemma we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a given nontrivial word in F to be irreducible.

Lemma 15.8 Let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ denote a nontrivial word in F and let $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ denote the corresponding signature. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) The word $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is irreducible.
- (ii) There exists an integer t ($1 \leq t \leq s$) such that

$$i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t \geq i_{t+1} \geq i_{t+2} \geq \cdots \geq i_{s-1} \geq i_s.$$

Proof: Immediate from Definition 15.6. □

For the moment we view x, y, z, z^{-1} as formal symbols and let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the free unital associative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra on x, y, z, z^{-1} . We identify F with the subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}$ generated by x, y .

We now view $A_q(\alpha)$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ and display a spanning set.

Theorem 15.9 Let $\pi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow A_q(\alpha)$ denote the canonical quotient map. Consider the following elements in $\mathcal{F}$:

$$wz^j, \quad w \text{ is an irreducible word in } F, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $A_q(\alpha)$ is spanned by the images of the above elements under π .

To prove Theorem 15.9 we will need the following two lemmas and definition.

Lemma 15.10 Let Ω denote the subspace of F spanned by all the irreducible words. Let Λ denote the two sided ideal of F generated by

$$x^3y - [3]x^2yx + [3]xyx^2 - yx^3, \quad (46)$$

$$xy^3 - [3]yxy^2 + [3]y^2xy - y^3x. \quad (47)$$

For an integer $n \geq 0$ let $\Omega_n = \Omega \cap F_n$ and $\Lambda_n = \Lambda \cap F_n$. Then the following (i)–(iv) hold:

$$(i) \quad F = \Omega + \Lambda \quad (\text{direct sum}),$$

$$(ii) \quad \Omega = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_n \quad (\text{direct sum}),$$

$$(iii) \quad \Lambda = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_n \quad (\text{direct sum}),$$

$$(iv) \quad F_n = \Omega_n + \Lambda_n \quad (\text{direct sum}) \quad 0 \leq n < \infty.$$

Proof: (i) View the $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra F/Λ as a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. By [17, Theorem 2.29] F/Λ has a basis consisting of the images of the irreducible words in F under the canonical quotient map $F \rightarrow F/\Lambda$. The result follows immediately from this.

(ii) The words in F form a basis for F .

(iii) The generators (46), (47) of Λ are in F_4 .

(iv) Recall $F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n$ (direct sum). Combining this with (i)–(iii) above we obtain the desired result. $\square$

Lemma 15.11 We have $\Lambda_n = 0$ for $n \leq 3$. Also

$$\pi(\Lambda_n) \subseteq \pi(F_{n-2}z^{-2}) \quad n \geq 4 \quad (48)$$

where $\pi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow A_q(\alpha)$ is the canonical quotient map.

Proof: The first assertion follows since the generators (46), (47) of Λ are in F_4 . For $n \geq 4$ we have by construction that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_n &= \sum_{i,j} F_i (x^3y - [3]x^2yx + [3]xyx^2 - yx^3) F_j \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j} F_i (xy^3 - [3]yxy^2 + [3]y^2xy - y^3x) F_j \end{aligned}$$

where each sum is over all nonnegative integers i, j such that $i + j = n - 4$. Applying π and using (42)–(45) we have

$$\pi(\Lambda_n) = \sum_{i,j} \pi(F_i x^2 F_j z^{-2}) + \sum_{i,j} \pi(F_i y^2 F_j z^{-2}) \quad (49)$$

where each sum is over all nonnegative integers i, j such that $i + j = n - 4$. For all such i, j we have $F_i x^2 F_j \subseteq F_{n-2}$ and $F_i y^2 F_j \subseteq F_{n-2}$. Simplifying (49) using this we obtain (48). $\square$

Definition 15.12 By a *word in $\mathcal{F}$* we mean an element of $\mathcal{F}$ of the form $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ where n is a nonnegative integer and $a_i \in \{x, y, z, z^{-1}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. By the (x, y) -length of $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ we mean the number of x 's plus the number of y 's in $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 15.9.

Proof of Theorem 15.9: Abbreviate

$$S = \text{Span}\{\pi(wz^j) \mid w \text{ is an irreducible word in } F \text{ and } j \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We show $S = A_q(\alpha)$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is spanned by its words and since $\pi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow A_q(\alpha)$ is surjective it suffices to show that S contains the image under π of every word in $\mathcal{F}$. By a *counterexample* we mean a word in $\mathcal{F}$ whose image under π is not contained in S . We assume there exists a counterexample and obtain a contradiction. Among all counterexamples let v denote a counterexample with minimal (x, y) -length. Let t denote the (x, y) -length of v . Using (41)–(43) we may assume without loss that $v = v' z^j$ where v' is a word in F_t and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Recall every word in F of length less than 4 is irreducible. By construction v' is reducible and so $t \geq 4$. By Lemma 15.10(iv) there exists $\varpi \in \Omega_t$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_t$ such that $v' = \varpi + \lambda$. Now $v = \varpi z^j + \lambda z^j$ so

$$\pi(v) = \pi(\varpi z^j) + \pi(\lambda z^j). \quad (50)$$

By construction ϖ is a linear combination of irreducible words so $\pi(\varpi z^j) \in S$. We now show $\pi(\lambda z^j) \in S$. By Lemma 15.11 and since $\lambda \in \Lambda_t$ we have $\pi(\lambda z^j) \in \pi(F_{t-2} z^{j-2})$. Every word in $F_{t-2} z^{j-2}$ has (x, y) -length $t - 2$ and is therefore not a counterexample by the minimality assumption. Hence the image under π of every word in $F_{t-2} z^{j-2}$ is contained in S . Since $F_{t-2} z^{j-2}$ is spanned by its words we have $\pi(F_{t-2} z^{j-2}) \subseteq S$. Therefore $\pi(\lambda z^j) \in S$. We have now shown $\pi(\varpi z^j) \in S$ and $\pi(\lambda z^j) \in S$ so $\pi(v) \in S$ by (50). This is a contradiction and the result follows. $\square$

16 A result concerning $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -submodules of V

Referring to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 9.3 let W denote an irreducible $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -submodule of V . The goal of this section is to prove $V_d \subseteq W$ (see Lemma 16.7). This fact will be used in the proof of Theorem 17.1.

We note that the arguments given in this section are a modification of the arguments from [22, Section 11].

Definition 16.1 With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 9.3 let W denote an irreducible $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -submodule of V . Observe that W is the direct sum of the nonzero spaces among $\{E_i W\}_{i=0}^d$ where E_i is from Definition 12.1(i). We define

$$t = \max\{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq d, E_i W \neq 0\}.$$

We call t the *endpoint* of W .

Lemma 16.2 With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 9.3 let W denote an irreducible $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -submodule of V and let t denote the endpoint of W . Then $\dim(E_t W) = 1$.

Proof: By construction W is an irreducible $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -module. Using this, Lemma 9.6, and Lemma 10.2 we find that $A|_W, \tilde{A}^*|_W$ is a q -geometric tridiagonal pair on W . Let s denote the diameter of $A|_W, \tilde{A}^*|_W$. Note that $\{E_{s-i} W\}_{i=s-t}^{2s-t}$ is a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of $A|_W$. Applying [22, Theorem 9.1] to $A|_W, \tilde{A}^*|_W$ we find $\dim(E_t W) = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 16.3 With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 9.3 let W denote an irreducible $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -submodule of V and let t denote the endpoint of W . With reference to Definition 12.1(i) and Definition 7.1 pick $v \in E_t W$ and write $u = G_{d-t} v$. Then $lu = 0$ where l is the linear transformation from (12).

Proof: Observe $u \in W_{d-t}$ by Remark 7.3. We assume $d - t \geq 1$; otherwise $lu = 0$ since $lW_0 = 0$. Observe $lu \in W_{d-t-1}$ by Remark 7.4. In order to show $lu = 0$ we show $lu \in W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$. Using Lemma 14.1(ii) and Definition 9.3 we have $c^{-1}l = \tilde{A}^* - B^{-1}$. Thus

$$c^{-1}lu = \tilde{A}^* v - B^{-1}v + c^{-1}l(u - v). \quad (51)$$

We are going to show that each of the three terms on the right in (51) is contained in $W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$. By the definition of t we have $W = E_0 W + \cdots + E_t W$ so $W \subseteq V_0 + \cdots + V_t$ in view of Definition 12.1(i). By this and (11) we find $W \subseteq W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$. By construction $v \in W$ so $\tilde{A}^* v \in W$. By these comments $\tilde{A}^* v \in W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$. We mentioned $v \in W$ so $v \in W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$. Each of $\{W_i\}_{i=d-t}^d$ is an eigenspace for B^{-1} so $B^{-1}v \in W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$. Since $v \in W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$ and since $u = G_{d-t} v$ we find $u - v \in W_{d-t+1} + \cdots + W_d$. Now $c^{-1}l(u - v) \in W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_{d-1}$ so $c^{-1}l(u - v) \in W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$. We have now shown that each of the three terms on the right in (51) is contained in $W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$. Therefore $lu \in W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$. Recall $lu \in W_{d-t-1}$. By this and since $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V we find $lu = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 16.4 With reference to Definition 7.1 and Definition 12.1(i) for $0 \leq i \leq d$ the action of E_i on W_{d-i} coincides with

$$\sum_{h=0}^i \frac{r^h}{(q^{2i-d} - q^{2i-d-2})(q^{2i-d} - q^{2i-d-4}) \cdots (q^{2i-d} - q^{2i-d-2h})}$$

where r is the linear transformation from (12).

Proof: Pick $w \in W_{d-i}$. We find $E_i w$. By (11) and since $E_i w \in V_i$ we find $E_i w \in W_{d-i} + \dots + W_d$. Consequently there exist $w_s \in W_s$ ($d-i \leq s \leq d$) such that $E_i w = \sum_{s=d-i}^d w_s$. By (10) and Remark 7.4 we have for $0 \leq j \leq d$ that r acts on W_j as $A - q^{d-2j}I$. Using this and since $(A - q^{2i-d}I)E_i = 0$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (A - q^{2i-d}I)E_i w \\ &= (A - q^{2i-d}I) \sum_{s=d-i}^d w_s \\ &= \sum_{s=d-i}^d (r + q^{d-2s} - q^{2i-d})w_s. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging the terms above we find $0 = \sum_{s=d-i+1}^d w'_s$ where

$$w'_s = r w_{s-1} + (q^{d-2s} - q^{2i-d})w_s \quad (d-i+1 \leq s \leq d).$$

Since $w'_s \in W_s$ for $d-i+1 \leq s \leq d$ and since $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V we find $w'_s = 0$ for $d-i+1 \leq s \leq d$. Consequently

$$w_s = (q^{2i-d} - q^{d-2s})^{-1} r w_{s-1} \quad (d-i+1 \leq s \leq d).$$

By Lemma 12.3 and since $w_{d-i} = G_{d-i}E_i w$ we find $w_{d-i} = w$. From these comments we obtain the desired result. $\square$

Lemma 16.5 *With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 9.3 let W denote an irreducible $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -submodule of V and let t denote the endpoint of W . With reference to Definition 12.1(i) and Definition 7.1 pick $v \in E_t W$ and write $u = G_{d-t}v$. Then*

$$l^i r^i u \in \text{Span}(u) \quad (0 \leq i \leq t) \quad (52)$$

where r, l are the linear transformations from (12).

Proof: We may assume $v \neq 0$; otherwise the result is trivial. Define

$$\Delta_i = (\tilde{A}^* - q^{2t-d}I)(\tilde{A}^* - q^{2t-d-2}I) \dots (\tilde{A}^* - q^{2t-d-2i+2}I). \quad (53)$$

Since Δ_i is a polynomial in $\tilde{A}^*$ we find $\Delta_i W \subseteq W$. In particular $\Delta_i v \in W$ so $E_t \Delta_i v \in E_t W$. The vector v spans $E_t W$ by Lemma 16.2 so there exists $m_i \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $E_t \Delta_i v = m_i v$. By this and since $E_t v = v$ we find $E_t(\Delta_i - m_i I)v = 0$. Now $(\Delta_i - m_i I)v \in E_0 W + \dots + E_{t-1} W$ in view of Definition 16.1. Observe $E_0 W + \dots + E_{t-1} W \subseteq V_0 + \dots + V_{t-1}$ where the V_j are from Assumption 3.1. By these comments and (11) we find $(\Delta_i - m_i I)v \in W_{d-t+1} + \dots + W_d$. Consequently $G_{d-t}(\Delta_i - m_i I)v = 0$. Recall $G_{d-t}v = u$ so

$$G_{d-t} \Delta_i v = m_i u. \quad (54)$$

We now evaluate $G_{d-t} \Delta_i v$. Observe $v = E_t u$ by Lemma 12.3 and since $u = G_{d-t}v$. By Lemma 16.4 there exist nonzero scalars $\gamma_h \in \mathbb{K}$ ($0 \leq h \leq t$) such that $v = \sum_{h=0}^t \gamma_h r^h u$. For

$0 \leq h \leq t$ we compute $G_{d-t}\Delta_i r^h u$. Keep in mind $r^h u \in W_{d-t+h}$ by Remark 7.4. First assume $h < i$. Using Lemma 9.4 and (53) we find $\Delta_i r^h u$ is contained in $W_{d-t+h-i} + \cdots + W_{d-t-1}$ so $G_{d-t}\Delta_i r^h u = 0$. Next assume $h = i$. Using Lemma 14.1(ii) and Definition 9.3 we have $c^{-1}l = \tilde{A}^* - B^{-1}$ and so $c^{-1}l|_{W_j} = (\tilde{A}^* - q^{d-2j}I)|_{W_j}$ ($0 \leq j \leq d$). Using this and (53) we find $(\Delta_i - c^{-1}l^i)r^i u$ is contained in $W_{d-t+1} + \cdots + W_{d-t+i}$. By this and since $c^{-1}l^i r^i u \in W_{d-t}$ we find $G_{d-t}\Delta_i r^i u = c^{-1}l^i r^i u$. Next assume $h > i$. Using Lemma 9.4 and (53) we find $\Delta_i r^h u$ is contained in $W_{d-t+h-i} + \cdots + W_{d-t+h}$. By this and since $h > i$ we find $G_{d-t}\Delta_i r^h u = 0$. By these comments we find $G_{d-t}\Delta_i v = \gamma_i c^{-1}l^i r^i u$. Combining this and (54) we obtain (52). $\square$

Lemma 16.6 *With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 9.3 let W denote an irreducible $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -submodule of V and let t denote the endpoint of W . Then $t = d$. Moreover, the following holds. With reference to Definition 12.1(i) and Definition 7.1 pick a nonzero $v \in E_d W$ and write $u = G_0 v$. Let r, l be the linear transformations from (12). Then V is spanned by the vectors of the form*

$$l^{i_1} r^{i_2} l^{i_3} r^{i_4} \cdots r^{i_n} u$$

where $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ ranges over all sequences such that n is a nonnegative even integer, and $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ are integers satisfying $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n \leq d$.

Proof: Let t is the endpoint of W . Pick a nonzero $v \in E_t W$ and write $u = G_{d-t} v$. Observe $0 \neq u \in W_{d-t}$ by Lemma 12.3. By Lemma 16.3 and Remark 7.4,

$$lu = 0, \quad r^{t+1}u = 0. \quad (55)$$

By Lemma 16.5,

$$l^i r^i u \in \text{Span}(u) \quad (0 \leq i \leq t). \quad (56)$$

Let W' denote the subspace of V spanned by all vectors of the form

$$l^{i_1} r^{i_2} l^{i_3} r^{i_4} \cdots r^{i_n} u, \quad (57)$$

where $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ ranges over all sequences such that n is a nonnegative even integer, and $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ are integers satisfying $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n \leq t$. Observe $u \in W'$ so $W' \neq 0$. In order to show $t = d$ we show $W' = V$ and $W' \subseteq W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$. We now show $W' = V$. To do this we show that W' is invariant under each of A, A^* . Recall $u \in W_{d-t}$ so u is an eigenvector for B and B^{-1} . Recall the $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra $A_q(\alpha)$ from Definition 15.1. By Lemma 14.2 and Lemma 14.3 there exists an $A_q(\alpha)$ -module structure on V with $\alpha = q^{-4}(q - q^{-1})^3[3]!$ where x, y, z, z^{-1} act as r, l, B, B^{-1} respectively. With respect to this $A_q(\alpha)$ -module structure we have $W' = A_q(\alpha)u$ in view of Lemma 15.8, Theorem 15.9 and (55), (56). It follows that W' is invariant under each of r, l, B, B^{-1} . By Lemma 14.1 $A = r + B^{-1}$ and $A^* = l + B + cB^{-1}$. Using this we have that W' is invariant under each of A, A^* . Since A, A^* is a tridiagonal pair on V we have $W' = V$. We now show $W' \subseteq W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$. By Remark 7.4 the vector (57) is contained in W_{d-t+i} where $i = \sum_{h=1}^n i_h(-1)^h$. From the construction $0 \leq i \leq t$ so $W_{d-t+i} \subseteq W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$. Therefore the vector (57) is contained in $W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$ so $W' \subseteq W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$. We have shown $W' = V$ and $W' \subseteq W_{d-t} + \cdots + W_d$. Since $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V we find $t = d$ and the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 16.7 *With reference to Assumption 3.1 and Definition 9.3 let W denote an irreducible $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -submodule of V . Then $V_d \subseteq W$.*

Proof: Recall by Lemma 16.6 that the endpoint of W is d . So $E_d W \neq 0$ by Definition 16.1. We have $U_0 = W_0$ by (7), (11) and so $\dim(W_0) = 1$ by Lemma 5.1. Using this and Lemma 12.3 we find $\dim(V_d) = 1$. We have $0 \neq E_d W \subseteq V_d$ so $E_d W = V_d$. But $E_d W \subseteq W$ by (28) so $V_d \subseteq W$. $\square$

17 $A, \tilde{A}^*$ is a tridiagonal pair

In this section we show $A, \tilde{A}^*$ is a q -geometric tridiagonal pair of V if and only if $P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$. The proof of this depends on the following lemma.

Lemma 17.1 *With reference to Assumption 3.1, Definition 5.3, and Definition 9.3 the following are equivalent:*

- (i) V is irreducible as an $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -module.
- (ii) $P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$.

Proof: (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) We assume $P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) = 0$ and derive a contradiction. Define

$$X_i = (V_i + \cdots + V_d) \cap (\tilde{V}_{d-i+1}^* + \cdots + \tilde{V}_d^*) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d)$$

where the V_j are from Assumption 3.1 and the $\tilde{V}_j^*$ are from Definition 9.7. Further define $X = X_1 + \cdots + X_d$. We will show that X is an $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -submodule of V and $X \neq V$, $X \neq 0$. We first show $AX \subseteq X$. For $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have $(A - q^{2i-d}I) \sum_{j=i}^d V_j = \sum_{j=i+1}^d V_j$ by Assumption 3.1 and $(A - q^{2i-d}I) \sum_{j=d-i+1}^d \tilde{V}_j^* \subseteq \sum_{j=d-i}^d \tilde{V}_j^*$ by Lemma 10.2(ii). By these comments

$$(A - q^{2i-d}I)X_i \subseteq X_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1), \quad (A - q^d I)X_d = 0$$

and it follows $AX \subseteq X$. We now show $\tilde{A}^* X \subseteq X$. For $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have

$$(\tilde{A}^* - q^{2i-d-2}I) \sum_{j=i}^d V_j = \sum_{j=i-1}^d V_j \text{ by Lemma 10.2(i) and } (\tilde{A}^* - q^{2i-d-2}I) \sum_{j=d-i+1}^d \tilde{V}_j^* \subseteq \sum_{j=d-i+2}^d \tilde{V}_j^* \text{ by Definition 9.7. By these comments}$$

$$(\tilde{A}^* - q^{2i-d-2}I)X_i \subseteq X_{i-1} \quad (2 \leq i \leq d-1), \quad (\tilde{A}^* - q^{-d}I)X_1 = 0$$

and it follows $\tilde{A}^* X \subseteq X$. We have now shown that X is an $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -submodule of V . We now show $X \neq V$. For $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have $X_i \subseteq V_i + \cdots + V_d$ so $X_i \subseteq V_1 + \cdots + V_d$. It follows $X \subseteq V_1 + \cdots + V_d$ and so $X \neq V$. We now show $X \neq 0$. To do this we display a nonzero vector in X_d . Pick a nonzero vector $u \in U_0$. Applying Theorem 13.1 we find $\tilde{E}_0^* E_d u = 0$. Write $v = E_d u$ and notice $v \in V_d$. By (7) and (11) we find $U_0 = W_0$ and so $v \neq 0$ by Lemma 12.3. Observe $\tilde{E}_0^* v = 0$ so $v \in \tilde{V}_1^* + \cdots + \tilde{V}_d^*$ by Definition 12.1(ii). From

these comments $v \in X_d$. We have displayed a nonzero vector v contained in X_d . Of course $X_d \subseteq X$ so $X \neq 0$. We have now shown that X is an $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -submodule of V and $X \neq V$, $X \neq 0$. This contradicts our assumption that V is irreducible as an $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -module. We conclude $P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let W denote an irreducible $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -submodule of V . We show $W = V$. To do this we show W is invariant under each of A, A^* . By construction W is invariant under A . In order to show W is invariant under A^* we show W is invariant under B . We define $\overline{W} = \{w \in W \mid Bw \in W\}$ and show $\overline{W} = W$. Using (14) we find $A\overline{W} \subseteq \overline{W}$. Using (16) we find $\tilde{A}^*\overline{W} \subseteq \overline{W}$. We now show $\overline{W} \neq 0$. Let $0 \neq v \in V_d$. By Lemma 16.7 $v \in W$. Combining (7) and (11) we find $U_0 = W_0$. Using this and Lemma 12.3 (with $i = d$) we have that $E_d : U_0 \rightarrow V_d$ is a bijection. So there exists a nonzero $u \in U_0$ such that $E_d u = v$. Using Theorem 13.1 we find $\tilde{E}_0^* v = q^{2d(1-d)} c^{-d} P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) u$. Since $P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2})$ and u are both nonzero we find $\tilde{E}_0^* v \neq 0$. Using (29) and since $v \in W$ we have $\tilde{E}_0^* v \in W$. Using Lemma 9.4 and Lemma 9.6 we find $\tilde{V}_0^* = W_0$. Hence $\tilde{E}_0^* v \in W_0$ and so $\tilde{E}_0^* v \in \overline{W}$. By these comments we find $\overline{W} \neq 0$. We have now shown $\overline{W}$ is nonzero and invariant under each of $A, \tilde{A}^*$. Therefore $\overline{W} = W$ since W is an irreducible $(A, \tilde{A}^*)$ -module. We have now shown W is invariant under B . By construction W is invariant under $\tilde{A}^*$. So by Definition 9.3 W is invariant under A^* . We now know that W is nonzero and invariant under each of A, A^* . Since A, A^* is a tridiagonal pair on V we find $W = V$ and the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 17.2 *With reference to Assumption 3.1, Definition 5.3, and Definition 9.3 the following holds. $A, \tilde{A}^*$ is a q -geometric tridiagonal pair on V if and only if $P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$.*

Proof: Immediate from Assumption 3.1, Lemma 9.6, Lemma 10.2, and Lemma 17.1. $\square$

18 The proof of Theorem 5.4

In this section we give a proof of our main result by providing the required action of $\boxtimes_q$ on V .

Proof of Theorem 5.4: (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) By [19, Theorem 12.3] the action of x_{30} on V is diagonalizable and the set of distinct eigenvalues is $\{q^{2i-d} \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\}$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let X_i denote the eigenspace of x_{30} corresponding to the eigenvalue q^{2i-d} . First we show x_{30} acts on V as B from Definition 9.1. By Definition 2.1 $qx_{01}x_{30} - q^{-1}x_{30}x_{01} = (q - q^{-1})I$ and so we have $(x_{01} - q^{d-2i}I)X_i \subseteq X_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ in view of Lemma 8.2. Using Definition 2.1 we find $qx_{30}(x_{30} + cx_{23}) - q^{-1}(x_{30} + cx_{23})x_{30} = (q - q^{-1})(x_{30}^2 + cI)$ and so $(x_{30} + cx_{23} - q^{2i-d}I - cq^{d-2i}I)X_i \subseteq X_{i-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ in view of Lemma 8.3. Recall by construction x_{01} acts as A and $x_{30} + cx_{23}$ acts as A^* . Using these comments and [16, Theorem 4.6] (with V_i replaced by V_{d-i}) we find $X_i = (V_0^* + \cdots + V_i^*) \cap (V_0 + \cdots + V_{d-i})$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Using this and Remark 7.2 we find $X_i = W_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. In view of Definition 9.1 we have now shown x_{30} acts on V as B . Using this and Definition 9.3 we find x_{23} acts as $\tilde{A}^*$. By [19, Theorem 10.3] and [22, Theorem 2.7] we find $A, \tilde{A}^*$ is a q -geometric tridiagonal

pair of V . So $P(q^{2d-2}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$ by Lemma 17.2.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) By Lemma 17.2 $A, \tilde{A}^*$ is a q -geometric tridiagonal pair on V . Using this, [22, Theorem 2.7], and [19, Theorem 10.4] there exists a unique irreducible $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on V such that x_{01} acts as A and x_{23} acts as $\tilde{A}^*$. It remains to show that $x_{30} + cx_{23}$ acts as A^* . To do this we show x_{30} acts on V as B from Definition 9.1. By [19, Theorem 12.3] the action of x_{30} on V is diagonalizable and the set of distinct eigenvalues is $\{q^{2i-d} \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\}$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let X_i denote the eigenspace of x_{30} corresponding to the eigenvalue q^{2i-d} . Using [19, Theorem 16.4] we find $X_i = (\tilde{V}_0^* + \cdots + \tilde{V}_i^*) \cap (V_0 + \cdots + V_{d-i})$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Recall by Definition 9.1 that for $0 \leq i \leq d$, W_i is the eigenspace of B with eigenvalue q^{2i-d} . We show $X_i = W_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Combining Lemma 8.4 and (16) we find $W_0 + \cdots + W_i = \tilde{V}_0^* + \cdots + \tilde{V}_i^*$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Using this and (11) we find $V_0^* + \cdots + V_i^* = \tilde{V}_0^* + \cdots + \tilde{V}_i^*$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Using this and Remark 7.2 we find $X_i = W_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. We have now shown x_{30} acts on V as B . Recall by construction x_{23} acts as $\tilde{A}^*$. Therefore by Definition 9.3 $x_{30} + cx_{23}$ acts as A^* and the result follows. $\square$

For the sake of completeness we now make a few comments regarding the $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on V given in Theorem 5.4.

Lemma 18.1 *With reference to Assumption 3.1, Theorem 5.4, and Definition 9.7 the following holds. For each generator x_{ij} of $\boxtimes_q$ and for $0 \leq n \leq d$ the eigenspace of x_{ij} corresponding to the eigenvalue q^{2n-d} is given as follows.*

generator	eigenspace corresponding to eigenvalue q^{2n-d}
x_{01}	V_n
x_{23}	$\tilde{V}_{d-n}^*$
x_{30}	$(\tilde{V}_0^* + \cdots + \tilde{V}_n^*) \cap (V_0 + \cdots + V_{d-n})$
x_{12}	$(\tilde{V}_n^* + \cdots + \tilde{V}_d^*) \cap (V_{d-n} + \cdots + V_d)$
x_{31}	$(\tilde{V}_0^* + \cdots + \tilde{V}_n^*) \cap (V_n + \cdots + V_d)$
x_{13}	$(\tilde{V}_0^* + \cdots + \tilde{V}_{d-n}^*) \cap (V_{d-n} + \cdots + V_d)$
x_{20}	$(\tilde{V}_{d-n}^* + \cdots + \tilde{V}_d^*) \cap (V_0 + \cdots + V_{d-n})$
x_{02}	$(\tilde{V}_n^* + \cdots + \tilde{V}_d^*) \cap (V_0 + \cdots + V_n)$

Proof: The first row of the table follows immediately from Theorem 5.4. In the proof of Theorem 5.4 we showed x_{23} acts on V as $\tilde{A}^*$. Using this we immediately obtain the second row of the table. The remaining six rows of the table follow from the first two rows and [19, Theorem 16.4]. $\square$

Lemma 18.2 *With reference to Assumption 3.1 and the $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on V given in Theorem 5.4 the following holds.*

(i) x_{30} acts on V as B .

(ii) x_{23} acts on V as $\tilde{A}^*$.

(iii) x_{31} acts on V as K .

(iv) x_{13} acts on V as K^{-1} .

where $B, \tilde{A}^*, K$ are from Definition 9.1, Definition 9.3, and Definition 11.1 respectively.

Proof: (i),(ii): These were shown in the proof of Theorem 5.4.

(iii),(iv): Recall for $0 \leq i \leq d$ that U_i is the eigenspace for K corresponding to the eigenvalue q^{2i-d} . In the proof of Theorem 5.4 we showed for $0 \leq i \leq d$ that $V_0^* + \cdots + V_i^* = \tilde{V}_0^* + \cdots + \tilde{V}_i^*$. Using this and Lemma 4.2 we find $U_i = (\tilde{V}_0^* + \cdots + \tilde{V}_i^*) \cap (V_i + \cdots + V_d)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. The result now follows from rows five and six of the table in Lemma 18.1. $\square$

19 Acknowledgment

The research which lead to this paper was done at the University of Wisconsin – Madison as part of the author’s PhD thesis. This thesis was written under the direction of Paul Terwilliger. The author would like to express his gratitude to Paul Terwilliger for his many helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] H. Al-Najjar and B. Curtin, *A family of tridiagonal pairs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **390** (2004), 369–384.
- [2] ———, *A family of tridiagonal pairs related to the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$* , Electron. J. Linear Algebra **13** (2005), 1–9.
- [3] ———, *A bilinear form for tridiagonal pairs of q -Serre type*, Linear Algebra Appl. **428** (2008), no. 11–12, 2688–2698.
- [4] P. Baseilhac, *An integrable structure related with tridiagonal algebras*, Nuclear Phys. B **705** (2005), no. 3, 605–619.
- [5] ———, *A family of tridiagonal pairs and related symmetric functions*, J. Phys. A **39** (2006), no. 38, 11773–11791.
- [6] G. Benkart and P. Terwilliger, *Irreducible modules for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ and its Borel subalgebra*, J. Algebra **282** (2004), 172–194.
- [7] ———, *The universal central extension of the three-point $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ loop algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), no. 6, 1659–1668.
- [8] J. Bowman, *Irreducible modules for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and its Borel subalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$* , J. Algebra **316** (2007), no. 1, 231–253.
- [9] B. Curtin, *Modular Leonard triples*, Linear Algebra Appl. **424** (2007), no. 2–3, 510–539.

- [10] ———, *Spin Leonard pairs*, Ramanujan J. **13** (2007), no. 1–3, 319–332.
- [11] A. Elduque, *The S_4 -action on the tetrahedron algebra*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **137** (2007), no. 6, 1227–1248.
- [12] D. Funk-Neubauer, *Raising/lowering maps and modules for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$* , Comm Algebra **35** (2007), no. 7, 2140–2159.
- [13] B. Hartwig, *Three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs*, Linear Algebra Appl **408** (2005), 19–39.
- [14] ———, *The tetrahedron algebra and its finite dimensional irreducible modules*, Linear Algebra Appl. **422** (2007), no. 1, 219–235.
- [15] B. Hartwig and P. Terwilliger, *The tetrahedron algebra, the Onsager algebra, and the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ loop algebra*, J. Algebra **308** (2007), no. 2, 840–863.
- [16] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, and P. Terwilliger, *Some algebra related to P - and Q -polynomial association schemes*, DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci. (Providence, RI), vol. 56, American Mathematical Society, 2001, pp. 167–192.
- [17] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger, *The shape of a tridiagonal pair*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **188** (2004), 145–160.
- [18] ———, *q -Inverting pairs of linear transformations and the q -tetrahedron algebra*, Linear Algebra Appl. **426** (2007), no. 2–3, 516–532.
- [19] ———, *The q -tetrahedron algebra and its finite dimensional irreducible modules*, Comm. Algebra **35** (2007), no. 11, 3415–3439.
- [20] ———, *Tridiagonal pairs and the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$* , Ramanujan J. **13** (1–3) (2007), 39–62.
- [21] ———, *Tridiagonal pairs of Krawtchouk type*, Linear Algebra Appl. **427** (2007), no. 2–3, 218–233.
- [22] ———, *Two non-nilpotent linear transformations that satisfy the cubic q -Serre relations*, J. Algebra Appl. **6** (2007), no. 3, 477–503.
- [23] ———, *Finite dimensional irreducible modules for the three-point $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ loop algebra*, Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), no. 12, 4557–4598.
- [24] K. Nomura, *A refinement of the split decomposition of a tridiagonal pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **403** (2005), 1–23.
- [25] ———, *Tridiagonal pairs and the Askey-Wilson relations*, Linear Algebra Appl. **397** (2005), 99–106.
- [26] ———, *Tridiagonal pairs of height one*, Linear Algebra Appl. **403** (2005), 118–142.

- [27] K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger, *The determinant of $AA^* - A^*A$ for a Leonard pair a, a^** , Linear Algebra Appl. **416** (2006), no. 2–3, 880–889.
- [28] ———, *Matrix units associated with the split basis of a Leonard pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **418** (2006), no. 2–3, 775–787.
- [29] ———, *Some trace formulae involving the split sequences of a Leonard pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **413** (2006), 189–201.
- [30] ———, *Affine transformations of a Leonard pair*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra **16** (2007), 389–417.
- [31] ———, *Balanced Leonard pairs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **420** (2007), no. 1, 51–69.
- [32] ———, *Linear transformations that are tridiagonal with respect to both eigenbases of a Leonard pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **420** (2007), no. 1, 198–207.
- [33] ———, *The split decomposition of a tridiagonal pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **424** (2007), no. 2–3, 339–345.
- [34] ———, *Sharp tridiagonal pairs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **429** (2008), no. 1, 79–99.
- [35] ———, *The structure of a tridiagonal pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **429** (2008), no. 7, 1647–1662.
- [36] ———, *The switching element for a Leonard pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **428** (2008), no. 4, 1083–1108.
- [37] ———, *Towards a classification of the tridiagonal pairs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **429** (2008), no. 2–3, 503–518.
- [38] P. Terwilliger, *The incidence algebra of a uniform poset*, Math and its applications **20** (1990), 193–212.
- [39] ———, *Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other*, Linear Algebra Appl. **330** (2001), 149–203.
- [40] ———, *Two relations that generalize the q -Serre relations and the Dolan-Grady relations*, Physics and Combinatorics 1999 (Nagoya) (River Edge, NJ), World Scientific Publishing, 2001, pp. 377–398.
- [41] ———, *Leonard pairs from 24 points of view*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **32** (2) (2002), 827–888.
- [42] ———, *Introduction to Leonard pairs*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **153** (2003), no. 2, 463–475.
- [43] ———, *Leonard pairs and the q -Racah polynomials*, Linear Algebra Appl. **387** (2004), 235–276.

- [44] ———, *Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the parameter array*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **34** (2005), 307–332.
- [45] ———, *Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the split decomposition*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **178** (2005), 437–452.
- [46] ———, *Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; the TD-D and the LB-UB canonical form*, J. Algebra **291** (2005), 1–45.
- [47] ———, *An algebraic approach to the Askey scheme of orthogonal polynomials*, Orthogonal polynomials and special functions, Lecture Notes in Math. (Berlin), vol. 1883, Springer, 2006, pp. 255–330.
- [48] P. Terwilliger and R. Vidunas, *Leonard pairs and the Askey-Wilson relations*, J. Algebra Appl. **3** (2004), 411–426.
- [49] M. Vidar, *Tridiagonal pairs of shape $(1,2,1)$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **429** (2008), no. 1, 403–428.
- [50] R. Vidunas, *Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations*, Linear Algebra Appl. **422** (2007), no. 1, 39–57.

Darren Funk-Neubauer
 Department of Mathematics and Physics
 Colorado State University - Pueblo
 2200 Bonforte Boulevard
 Pueblo, CO 81001 USA
 email: darren.funkneubauer@colostate-pueblo.edu
 phone: (719) 549 - 2693
 fax: (719) 549 - 2962